

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.


Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board  
Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25)  
1001 I Street  
PO Box 4025  
Sacramento CA 95812-4025

### General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

<b>Section I: Jurisdiction Information and Certification</b> <i>All respondents must complete this section.</i>			
I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of:			
Jurisdiction Name City of Rosemead		County Los Angeles	
Authorized Signature 		Title City Manager	
Type/Print Name of Person Signing Bill Crowe	Date 12-12-02	Phone (626) 569-2110	
Person Completing This Form (please print or type) Hazel Joanes		Title Eco Telesis	
Phone (909) 790-0187 (Hazel Joanes) Project Associate (510) 325-3525 (Belinda Mak)	E-mail Address syotsuya@cityofrosemead.org (Scott Yotsuya) hjoanes@earthlink.net (Hazel Joanes) b.mak_76@yahoo.com (Belinda Mac)		Fax (626)307-9218 (Scott Yotsuya)
Mailing Address 8838 E Valley Boulevard	City Rosemead	State Ca	ZIP Code 91770

## Section II—Cover Sheet

This cover sheet is to be completed for each Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR) requested.

### 1. Eligibility

Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are requesting an ADR)?

- ☐ No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR.
- ☒ Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.

### 2. Specific Request and Length of Request

Please specify the request desired.

☒ **Time Extension Request**

Specific years requested \_2002, 2003 and 2004\_\_\_\_\_

Is this a second request? ☒ No ☐ Yes Specific years requested. \_\_\_\_\_  
(Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)

☐ **Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).**

Specific ADR requested \_N/A\_\_\_\_\_%, for the years\_\_\_\_\_

Is this a second ADR request? ☒ No ☐ Yes Specific ADR requested \_ \_\_\_\_\_%, for the years\_\_\_\_\_

(Note: Requests for an additional ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet 50% by the end of the first ADR period were not successful.)

**Note:** Extensions may be requested anytime by a jurisdiction, but will only be effective in the years from January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend beyond January 1, 2006.

### **Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION**

**Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.**

*Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).*

- 1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.**

Since the inception of AB 939 the City of Rosemead has been proactive in achieving waste diversion. Since 1990 the City has implemented the following waste reduction and recycling programs: grasscycling program at city parks, established source reduction and recycling programs at city hall, participated and encouraged material exchanges and the resale of used goods, conducted door to door technical assistance consultations with local businesses to promote waste reduction and recycling efforts, implemented a residential curbside source separated recycling and green waste collection program, promoted the use of buy back and drop-off centers, developed elementary middle & high school recycling programs utilizing local and Department of Conservation grant funds, established a citywide seasonal recycling program for telephone books and Christmas Trees, implemented a residential curbside white goods recycling program, expanded the curbside recycling program to include additional materials, established City parks and streets tree trimming mulching program, established a city design standard to incorporate recycled aggregate in road reconstruction projects, encouraged residential backyard composting, encouraged proper disposal of hazardous wastes, and implemented a pilot multifamily recycling program. While the City has diligently pursued active implementation of these programs the City will need additional time to meet the Board mandated 50% diversion goal. There are several contributing factors that are attributed to waste diversion goal shortfall:

- 1) Non Authorized/ Self-Haulers inaccurately report waste origination at Puente Hills Landfill and other landfills. The City pursued tracking self-haulers that claim waste originating from Rosemead. The City contacted the self-haulers by telephone. Several haulers indicated that they had incorrectly reported to the landfills that the wastes originated from Rosemead or that the amount from Rosemead was actually significantly less than originally reported. The City requested the self-haulers to resolve the inaccurate data with the landfills. Unfortunately the self-haulers did not follow through with the City's request. Tracking self haulers and non authorized haulers is labor intensive and haulers have proved to be uncooperative and unwilling to correct disposal reporting data. The City does not have the personnel resources or budget to continue to track and if necessary prosecute self-haulers for misrepresenting data. But the City has systematically contacted the self-haulers; however, they have declined to release documentation of their acknowledged misreporting of landfill waste. We do believe that some of the haulers have corrected their misreporting since our contact with them. The City will continue to rely on the CIWMB disposal reporting system, mindful that the reporting inaccuracies remain to be unresolved.
- 2) The City has a large economically challenged sector with 30% of the population base on public assistance of some form. Scavengers frequently remove recyclables from the residential curbside recycling program and local businesses in order to sell the materials and pocket the money. Scavenging is a source of income for subsistence households. The City has implemented an anti-scavenging code enforcement program, however scavenging remains a persistent problem. Moreover, based on informal discussions that the City has had with various community members scavengers travel to recycling buy back centers outside City boundaries in order to receive the maximum value for their recyclables. The City will continue its efforts to discourage scavenging through code and law enforcement efforts.
- 3) The City has explored the option of re-designing the residential recycling curbside program to a fully automated program in order to achieve higher participation and recycling diversion rates. The City recognizes that the implementation of a fully automated recycling and waste collection program would increase recycling participation. However, a fully automated collection option would require that the City increase the waste and recycling collection fees by 1/3 to single family residents. This option is politically sensitive because of the heavy concentration of economically disadvantaged and senior citizens in the community. Raising rates would cause an undue hardship to many residents. The council is quite sensitive to residents concerns regarding this issue. The City anticipates increasing public information by disseminating recycling brochures to single family residences to maintain a focus on the need to improve recycling in the residential sector.

Continued in attachment Section IIIA-1

2. **Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.**

The City needs the amount of time requested in order to refine existing programs and to establish new programs of diverting waste. Because the residential and commercial sector have not met expectations or responded favorably to the City's available recycling programs, the City anticipates diverting up to 10% of the commercial and multifamily sector wastes from landfills through waste to energy technology. This will require that the City substantially increase the waste collection rates for the multifamily and commercial accounts. Negotiations with the waste to energy facility and public hearing requirements for rate increases necessitate additional time. Additionally, the City will need to identify funding sources to design, develop, publish and disseminate multilingual recycling brochures. This task also requires additional time. Moreover, the full implementation of the DOC school recycling grant program will require that the City participate in various school activities that are available over the course of the next several school years.

**3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.**

Since the inception of AB 939 in 1990 and prior to the adoption of the City SRRE the City of Rosemead engaged in proactive measures to achieve waste diversion. The City has annually reported to the CIWMB of their progress with SRRE programs. Since 1990 the City has implemented the following waste reduction and recycling programs: grasscycling program at city parks, established source reduction and recycling programs at city hall, participated and encouraged material exchanges and the resale of used goods, conducted door to door technical assistance consultations with local businesses to promote waste reduction and recycling efforts, implemented a residential curbside source separated recycling and green waste collection program, promoted the use of buy back and drop-off centers, developed elementary, middle & high school recycling programs through Department of Conservation grant funds and has provided financial incentives to schools to encourage them to recycle by conducting contests between schools on recycling and giving the schools that recycle the most cash awards, established a citywide seasonal recycling program for telephone books and Christmas Trees, implemented a residential curbside white goods recycling program, expanded the curbside recycling program to include additional materials, established the City parks tree trimming mulching program, established a city design standard to incorporate recycled aggregate in road reconstruction projects, encouraged residential backyard composting, encouraged proper disposal of hazardous wastes, and implemented a pilot multifamily recycling program.

**4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.**

The City's 1999 calculated diversion rate using the 1999 new generation base year study is 29 %. Using 1999 as the new base year, the City anticipates that the 2000 diversion rate will be at 40%. This improved diversion rate reflects corrections to the 1990 base year generation data and brings further accuracy to the 1998 data provided in the 1998 revision to the 1990 base year. A new base year study was funded by the City in 1999 -2000 because of omissions in diversion activity in the original 1990 base year generation data. The 1990 base year generation study did not include wastes attributed to self haulers or all the aspects of diversion that are reflected in the new base year modification request that was submitted to the Board on January 30, 2002.

In 1998 the City requested the waste hauler to expand the residential curbside recycling program and offer school presentations and workshops on recycling and source reduction. The hauler did expand the curbside recycling program and conducted school recycling presentations. Also, the City informally requested the waste hauler to voluntarily provide recycling services to businesses.

In addition to increasing the City's waste diversion activities, the City also initiated a strategy to better understand the current waste disposal and diversion activities in the City. In December 1999 the City hired a consultant to conduct waste generation surveys of local businesses and schools. During those surveys, technical assistance on recycling and waste reduction methods was offered to the businesses and schools.

The City continues to explore opportunities to promote waste diversion. In the upcoming year the City will institute policies and procedures to encourage Construction and Demolition Debris recycling on City Public Projects and private building projects.

In the upcoming years the City will expand efforts to promote the recycling program at community special events.

The City is considering implementing a C&D waste diversion goal of 50% for various construction and demolition projects within city limits for possibly all City contracts, the commercial sector, and residential sector. The City is currently still trying to determine that threshold for such projects by contacting neighboring Cities to find a C&D policy that is both effective but is not too onerous for businesses. The City knows that it must be very careful, because anything that may incur additional costs for businesses is a politically sensitive subject. The City will enforce their C&D policy by education and a set of fines. The City is currently trying to determine a reasonable scale of fines.

### **Section IIIB--ALTERNATIVE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT**

**Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.**

*Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).*

**1. Why does your jurisdiction need and Alternative Diversion Requirement? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.**

N/A

**2. Why is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in lieu of a Time Extension?**

N/A

**3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to Implement the programs in its SRRE.**

N/A

**4. Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for an ADR. Provide any relevant information that supports the request.**

N/A

**Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION**

**A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.**

*Attach additional sheets if necessary.*

Residential %		21	Non-residential %		79
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: <a href="http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm">www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm</a>	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
School Recycling Program	Existing/Expand	To increase recycling at schools the City will hire a waste diversion technical assistance consultant to provide the school districts and individual schools assistance in designing and implementing school sourced reduction and recycling programs.	City general fund	On Going	<1%
School Recycling Program	Expand	The City will use grant funds obtained through the DOC to purchase classroom recycling containers and recycling educational promotional materials.	DOC Grants	April 2003, April 2004, December 2004	<1%
Waste to Energy	New	To divert waste from landfills the City plans to incorporate waste to energy technologies into its overall waste management practices. This may take additional time in order to get approval and acceptance from all stakeholders. Monitoring hauler's efforts may take additional time. The City plans on phasing in the Waste to Energy collection routes in the fall of 2002.	Rate Payer	December 2004	5 %-10%
Concrete/Asphalt/Rubble	Existing/Expand	The City will expand this program to include the enacting of an ordinance that will feature the following: 1) A City ordinance will be enacted. 2) A 50% diversion goal will be the target for this program. 3) A permit will be required for C&D debris handling within the City. 4) The threshold for C&D projects subject to this ordinance will be determined by the City.	City general funds/ Permit fees	April 2004	2%
Commercial On-Site Collection	Expand	On-site technical assistance will be provided to assist businesses divert potentially recyclables materials. On-site source reduction and recycling will also be included.	City/ Hauler	June 2003	3%
Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs					10%-15%
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report					40%
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated					50%-55 %



**PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES**

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED
1010-SR-BCM	Existing	The City residents are invited to participate in the County's backyard composting demonstration classes.	Ongoing
1050-SR-GOV	Existing	City staff practices source reduction methods at City facilities. It is common practice for employees to reuse cardboard boxes for storage, use discarded paper as scratch paper, and make double sided copies.	Ongoing
9010-HH-MPC	Existing	The City promotes and encourages the proper disposal of Household Hazardous Wastes through the County's Household Hazardous Waste Roundups.	Ongoing
2000-RC-CRB	Existing/ Expand	Over the course of the next two years the City will research opportunities to fully automate curbside residential recycling with minimal economic impact to residents.	December 2004
5010-ED-PRN	Existing/ Expand	In the upcoming year the City has planned to design, publish and distribute multi-lingual waste diversion resource guides to the business and residential sectors.	July 2003
5020-ED-OUT	Existing/ Expand	To promote source reduction programs in the commercial sector the City will hire a waste diversion technical assistance consultant to provide businesses site specific recycling and source reduction techniques tailored to the needs of each businesses. If successful this program may also extend to the residential sector. Waste diversion sessions may be offered at community events and homeowner association meetings.	July 2004
No Code Available □		The City is currently exploring opportunities to maximize use of regional waste diversion programs. The City has attended several formation committee meetings to establish a Los Angeles Area Regional Agency (LARA). As a member of LARA the City would have the ability to partner with other cities to conduct C & D workshops for contractors and for public works staff. The could would also be able to partner with other cities to conduct hotel recycling and waste reduction workshops. The City will also have the ability to utilize the City of Los Angeles educational and public outreach resources to implement and maintain waste diversion programs.	Ongoing

**Section IV B—GOAL ACHIEVEMENT**

**Goal Achievement describes the activities the jurisdiction will use to achieve the ADR.**  
*Attach additional sheets if necessary.*

Residential %		Non-residential %			
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: <a href="http://www.ciwmmb.ca.gov/LG/Central/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm">www.ciwmmb.ca.gov/LG/Central/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm</a>					
N/A					
Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs					
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report					
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated					
<b>PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES</b>					
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED		
N/A					

#### **Section V – PARIS**

**Office of Local Assistance staff will be reviewing your Jurisdiction's Planning Annual Report Information System (PARIS) database printout as part of the evaluation of your request. Should the Jurisdiction have updates or revisions to the program implementation from the latest Annual Report submitted to the Board, please attach to the application the Jurisdiction's PARIS database printout showing updates or revisions.**

*Contact your Office of Local Assistance Representative at (916) 341-6199 for a copy of PARIS, or go to the Board's website at [www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/](http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/).*